1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STA	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX	No. MDL 05-01699 CRB	
12	MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND	
13	/	MORION REQUESTING COURT [TO] APPOINT EXPERT	
14	This document relates to:	[-0]0	
15	Harril Glen Scott, 12-2033		
16			
17	The Court is in receipt of <i>pro se</i> Plainting	ff Harril Glen Scott's Motion to Compel	
18	Discovery (dkt. 44) and Motion Requesting Court [to] Appoint Expert (dkt. 45). The Court		
19	DENIES the first motion for the reasons stated in its Order of August 2, 2012 (dkt. 42). It		
20 21	DENIES the second motion because Plaintiff is not entitled to a court-appointed expert.		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23		FR	
24	Dated: August 10, 2012	CHARLES R. BREYER	
25		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
26			
27			
28			

 $G:\CRBALL\2005\1699\ ordersmisc\ order\ re\ scott\ 8-9-12.wpd$